

**ED\_000545A\_00007564**

**To:** CN=Antonio Fernandez/OU=AA/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]  
**Cc:** CN=Connie Hart/OU=AA/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Ines  
Storhok/OU=AA/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Ines Storhok/OU=AA/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]  
**Bcc:** []  
**From:** CN=Ed Nam/OU=AA/O=USEPA/C=US  
**Sent:** Wed 1/21/2009 11:10:14 PM  
**Subject:** Re: EPAct testing status  
[January 13 2009.doc](#)  
[2009LDOC\\_Budget.xls](#)

Ines,

I believe that the EPAct overage is closer to \$500K not \$800K, but I am getting confirmation from Rafal now. this would put it closer to the 10% commonly acceptable limit for contract work on a nearly \$5 Mill contract.

-Ed

Antonio Fernandez/AA/USEPA/US  
01/21/2009 05:25 PM  
To Ines Storhok/AA/USEPA/US@EPA  
cc Ed Nam/AA/USEPA/US@EPA, Connie Hart/AA/USEPA/US@EPA  
Subject EPAct testing status

Ines,

Let me know if this is what you need and if it has sufficient detail. I also attached the budget excersice we did last September for the LDOC specific areas. Connie Hart also has a more detailed EPAct write-up she sends to Chet bi-monthly that she can provide.

Thanks  
Tony